	Case 2:20-cv-01748-TLN-KJN Docume	ent 5 Filed 09/04/20 Page 1 of 3
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	HUNG M. NGUYEN,	No. 2:20-cv-1748-TLN-KJN (PS)
12	Plaintiff,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS DENYING PLAINTIFF'S IFP REQUEST;
13	V.	ORDER DENYING ELECTRONIC FILING
14	CACHE CREEK CASINO RESORT,	(ECF No. 2, 3)
15	Defendant.	
16	Defendant.	
17	Presently pending before the court is plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in forma	
18	pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (authorizing the commencement of an action "without	
19	prepayment of fees or security" by a person that is unable to pay such fees). (ECF No. 2.)	
20	Presently, a filing fee of \$400.00 is required to commence a civil action in this Court.	
21	The affidavit in support of the motion indicates that plaintiff's gross wages are \$21,492	
22	annually. According to the United States Department of Health and Human Services	
23	(<u>https://aspe.hhs.gov/poverty-guidelines</u>), the poverty guideline for a household of 1 not residing	
24	in Alaska or Hawaii is \$12,760.00 for 2020. Thus, plaintiff's gross household income is almost	
25	double the poverty guideline. Thus, the Court cannot find that plaintiff is unable to pay the court	
26	filing fee.	
27	This matter was referred to the undersigned pursuant to E.D. Cal. L.R. 302(c)(21).	
28	1	
	•	

Case 2:20-cv-01748-TLN-KJN Document 5 Filed 09/04/20 Page 2 of 3

To be sure, the Court is sympathetic to the fact that plaintiff does not have a large income by any measure, and that plaintiff also has several expenses to contend with. However, numerous litigants in this court have significant monthly expenditures, and may have to make difficult choices as to which expenses to incur, which expenses to reduce or eliminate, and how to apportion their income between such expenses and litigating an action in federal court. Such difficulties in themselves do not amount to indigency.

Nevertheless, based on the information provided by plaintiff, it is clear that a one-time \$400 payment may represent a significant strain on his monthly budget. Therefore, the court finds it appropriate to allow for monthly payments of \$50.00 until the \$400.00 filing fee is paid in full. Upon receipt of the first installment, the court would then issue the appropriate service orders.

In response to the court's decision, plaintiff may choose to do one of the following within 14 days of this decision:

- a) Plaintiff may pay the full \$400 filing fee and withdraw his in forma pauperis request, or request an extension of time to do so;
- b) Plaintiff may file a statement with the court agreeing to the \$50 per month payment plan. The court will then submit recommendations to the assigned district judge with a payment schedule;
- c) File an objection to these findings and recommendations, which the court will present to the assigned district judge for consideration. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations."

Plaintiff also submitted a request to utilize the court's e-filing services. (ECF No. 3.) Generally, "any person appearing pro se may not utilize electronic filing except with the permission of the assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge." See Local Rule 133(b)(2). Plaintiff's motion for electronic case filing does not provide any good cause for deviance from the Local Rule applicable to unrepresented litigants. As such, the request is denied.

27 ////

28 ////

Case 2:20-cv-01748-TLN-KJN Document 5 Filed 09/04/20 Page 3 of 3

1 **ORDER** 2 Accordingly, it is hereby ordered that plaintiff's request to electronically file is DENIED. 3 **RECOMMENDATIONS** Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that: 4 5 1. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2) be denied; 2. Plaintiffs be ordered to pay the applicable filing fee on a monthly payment plan; and 6 7 3. Plaintiff be informed that a failure to timely pay the filing fee, or timely request an 8 extension of time to do so, may result in dismissal of the action pursuant to Federal 9 Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). 10 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 11 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen (14) 12 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written 13 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned 14 "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections 15 shall be served on all parties and filed with the court within fourteen (14) days after service of the 16 objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may 17 waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th 18 Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153, 1156-57 (9th Cir. 1991). 19 Dated: September 3, 2020 20 21 ED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE nguy, 1748 22 23 24 25 26 27

28